

CIVCAS CELL INITIAL ASSESSMENT

Allegation #	901		
Source(s)	Airwars	Date Received	19 AUG 17
Casualty Estimate	Killed: 3, including 1 woman Injured: 6		
Incident Date	February 16 th -18th 2017		
Location	Dir'iyah, Raqqa governorate, Syria (b)(1)1.4a		
Allegation	<p>Source #1: February 18th 2017: Dir'iyah neighbourhood, Raqqa, Raqqa governorate, Syria Summary: A single source, Smart, reported the deaths of three civilians including one woman and the injury of six others in an alleged Coalition airstrike on the Dir'iyah neighbourhood of Raqqa. According to Smart, "a local source in the town of Raqqa said that two men and a woman were killed and six others seriously injured after night-long raids on ythe Dir'iyah neighborhood". No further details are currently available. Civilians reported killed: 3, including 1 woman Civilians reported injured: 6 Sources: Smart news agency [Arabic], [Archived], Quality of reporting: Weak – currently single source</p>		
Analysis	<p>1. Is this allegation a self-report? No If yes, add details of corroborating strike below and task a CCAR. If no, move to 2.</p> <p>2. Does the allegation include a) video/photo evidence of CIVCAS? No b) a general location, e.g., Tabaqah, Manbij, Tel Afar; Yes AND c) a way to identify the date or a 48 hour date range? Yes If yes to all three, move to 6. If any are no, then move to number 3.</p> <p>3. Does the allegation contain a a) General Day, Yes AND b) Specific location, e.g., MGRS or specific building? No If yes to the two, then move to 6. If any are no, move to number 4.</p> <p>4.a. Is the allegation from a single source (even if there are multiple sources, look at whether the sources lack independence, i.e., all derived from one source)? If yes, move to 4.b. If no, move to 5. Yes 4.b. Is the single source a high quality source (well-known/credible news source) OR does it provide specific facts that may warrant a CCAR, i.e., a way to narrow down a reasonable list of strikes to assess. Yes If yes, move to 6. If no, then move to 4.c</p>		

~~4.c. Has media interviewed witnesses and/or victims? If yes, then contact journalist and re-assess. If no, then close the allegation.~~

~~5.a. Are any of the sources high quality? If yes, move to 6. If no, move to 5.b.~~

~~5.b. Are there at least two corroborating sources (total of 3) that independently reported the allegation, OR does it provide specific facts that may warrant a CCAR? If yes, move to 6. If no, close the allegation.~~

6. Does the allegation contain sufficient information on the time, location and details to make an assessment of credibility? I.e., does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine a neighborhood, landmark, or other way to where the alleged incident occurred within a .5km radius? If yes, move to 7. If no, close the allegation. **Yes**

7. Are there any potentially corroborating strikes? If yes, answer the below questions and task CCAR. If no, close allegation. **No**

1. Assessed date of incident:

2. Location:

3. Corroborating strikes:

MGRS:

Strike Number:

DTG:

Target:

Dynamic/Deliberate:

Aircraft:

Call Sign:

Nationality:

Munitions:

Number dropped:

Target Number:

TEA:

IZ & SY

MAT, 0

CJTF log, 0

CJTF kmz, 0

CAOC log, 0

IZ

CJFLCC log, -email (Start 18 MAY 2017),

SY

TI(1) log, -email (Start 22 JUL 2017),

Non-US Coalition Involvement		
Decision	Close at the IA due to insufficient evidence to corroborate the allegation. <ul style="list-style-type: none">• A 72 hour (16-18 FEB 17) search revealed no strikes in the specified location.	
Report Approved By:	<table border="1"><tr><td data-bbox="329 474 695 527">(b)(3) 10 USC 130b; (b)(6)</td></tr></table>	(b)(3) 10 USC 130b; (b)(6)
(b)(3) 10 USC 130b; (b)(6)		

Approved for Release

Page 248 redacted for the following reason:

Exhibits removed per agreement - Bates Number 4676

Approved for Release