

## CIVCAS CELL INITIAL ASSESSMENT

<b>Allegation #</b>	499		
<b>Source(s)</b>	Iraqi News	<b>Date Received</b>	8 June 2017
<b>Casualty Estimate</b>	43 civilians killed.		
<b>Incident Date</b>	3 Jun 17.		
<b>Location</b>	Al-Shifa District, IVO MGRS: (b)(1)1.4a	Mosul, IZ	
<b>Allegation</b>	<p><b>1. Description of allegation:</b></p> <p>CJFLCC-O IR OSJA reviewed strike records for all Coalition strikes in Al-Shifa on 3 Jun 17. None of the available FMV discloses any civilian activity either pre-strike or post-strike that could be consistent with the occurrence of CIVCAS. In the absence of further particularizing information or evidence, this allegation should be treated as not having the potential to be credible insofar as it relates to Coalition operations.</p> <p><b>2. Is this in Airwars? No.</b></p>		
<b>Analysis</b>	<p>1. Is this allegation a self-report? <b>No.</b> If yes, add details of corroborating strike below and task a CCAR. <b>No.</b> If no, move to 2.</p> <p>2. Does the allegation include</p> <p>a) video/photo evidence of CIVCAS <b>No.</b></p> <p>b) a general location, e.g., Tabaqah, Manbij, Tel Afar; if in Mosul, or similarly sized city, must provide neighborhoods, e.g., Zanjili. <b>Yes.</b></p> <p>AND</p> <p>c) a way to identify the date or a 48 hour date range? <b>Yes.</b></p> <p>If yes to all three, move to 6. If any are no, then move to number 3.</p> <p>3. Does the allegation contain a</p> <p>a) General Day, <b>Yes.</b></p> <p>AND</p> <p>b) Specific location, e.g., MGRS or specific building? <b>Yes.</b></p> <p>If yes to the two, then move to 6. If any are no, move to number 4.</p> <p>4.a. Is the allegation from a single source (even if there are multiple sources, look at whether the sources lack independence, i.e., all derived from one source)? If yes, move to 4.b. If no, move to 5.</p> <p>4.b. Is the single source a high quality source <b>OR</b> does it provide specific facts that may warrant a CCAR. If yes, move to 6. If no, then move to 4.c.</p>		

	<p>4.c. Has media interviewed witnesses and/or victims? If yes, then contact journalist and re-assess. If no, then close the allegation.</p> <p>5.a. Are any of the sources high quality? If yes, move to 6. If no, move to 5.b.</p> <p>5.b. Are there at least two corroborating sources (total of 3) that independently reported the allegation, <b>OR</b> does it provide specific facts that may warrant a CCAR? If yes, move to 6. If no, close the allegation.</p> <p>6. Does the allegation contain sufficient information on the time, location and details to make an assessment of credibility? <b>Yes.</b> I.e., does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine a neighborhood, landmark, or other way to where the alleged incident occurred within a .5km radius? <b>Yes.</b> If yes, move to 7. If no, close the allegation.</p> <p>7. Are there any potentially corroborating strikes? <b>Yes.</b> If yes, answer the below questions and task CCAR. If no, close allegation.</p> <p><b>1. Assessed date of incident:</b> 3 Jun 17</p> <p><b>2. Location:</b> <input type="text" value="(b)(1)1.4a"/></p> <p><b>3. Corroborating strikes:</b> Unable to locate corroborating strikes. CJFLCC, please confirm.</p>
<b>Non-US Coalition Involvement</b>	
<b>Decision</b>	
<b>Report Approved By:</b>	<input type="text" value="(b)(3) 10 USC 130b; (b)(6)"/>

Approved for Release