

CIVCAS CELL INITIAL ASSESSMENT

Allegation #	1989		
Incident Date	12/30/17	Opened Date	1/6/18
Source(s)	Social Media		
Source Type	Social Media	Location	Tal al-Zahab
Nearest Major City	Choose an item.	MGRS	(b)(1)1.4a
Allegation Description	<p>Estimated Casualties – Killed: 3, Injured: 0</p> <p>Source #1:</p> <p>Euphrates Post reported the CFs have conducted an airborne operation in the village of Tal al-Zahab in southern Hasaka countryside, targeting an ISIS HQ in the area. The operation resulted in killing one ISIS element and 3 civilians.</p> <p>Is this in Airwars? No</p>		

1. Is this allegation a self-report?
If yes, add details of potentially corroborating strike below and task a CCAR. If no, move to 2.
2. Does the allegation actually allege CIVCAS?
If yes, go to 3.a. If no, close the allegation.
- 3.a. Does the allegation state a single incident and/or location?
If yes, move to 4. If no, move to 3.b.
- 3.b. Does the allegation contain specific information pairing each CIVCAS allegation with an independent location?
If yes, separate the each of the combined allegations into separate allegations and restart an IA for each.
If no, send a RFI in an attempt to break out the locations and casualties into separate allegations, restart the IA.
If unable to RFI the allegor, go to 7.
4. Does the allegation contain:
 - a) a way to identify a 48 hour date range?
 - b) a specific location?
 If yes to BOTH, go to 7. If EITHER are no, then go to 5.
5. Does the allegation contain:
 - a) a way to identify a 48 hour date range?

**THE OVERALL CLASSIFICATION IS
SECRET//REL TO USA, FVEY**

- b) a specific time of day or timeframe?
- c) a general location?

If yes to ALL three, then go to 7. If ANY are no, go to 6.

6. Does the allegation contain:

- a) Video or photo evidence of CIVCAS that can be used to narrow the date/time or location of the allegation?
If yes, go to 7. If no, go to 6.b.
- b) Are there at least two corroborating sources (total of 3) that independently reported the allegation?
If yes, go to 7. If no, go to 6.c.
- c) Any high quality sources?
If yes, go to 7. If no, go to 6.d.
- d) Specific facts that warrant a search for strikes?
If yes, go to 7. If no, close the allegation.

7.a. Does the allegation contain sufficient information on the time, location and details to conduct a search for strikes? **Yes**

If yes, go to 8. If no, go to 7.b.

7.b. Explain in detail why there is insufficient information on the time, location, and details to conduct a search for strikes.

IZ & SY

MAT: 0 Results

CAOC log: 0 Results

CJTF log: 0 Results

IZ

CJFLCC log, -email (Start 18 MAY 2017):

SY

TF(1) log, -email (Start 22 JUL 2017):

8. Are there any potentially corroborating strikes? **No**

If yes, answer the questions below and task CCAR.

If no, provide a detailed explanation and close the allegation.

- Given the information provided, a comprehensive 48 hour (29-30 DEC 17) search was conducted.
- Zero corroborating results were found for alleged strikes.

~~1. Assessed date of incident:~~

~~2. Location:~~

~~3. Potentially Corroborating Strike Information:~~

~~MGRS:~~

~~Strike Number:~~

**THE OVERALL CLASSIFICATION IS
SECRET//REL TO USA, FVEY**

**THE OVERALL CLASSIFICATION IS
SECRET//REL TO USA, FVEY**

DTG:

Target:

Dynamic/Deliberate:

Aircraft:

Call Sign:

Nationality:

Munitions & Number dropped:

Target Number:

TEA:

BE #:

Non-US Coalition Involvement:

Decision: Close at the IA due to insufficient evidence to corroborate the allegation.

Approved for Release

**THE OVERALL CLASSIFICATION IS
SECRET//REL TO USA, FVEY**

Approved for Release