

CIVCAS CELL INITIAL ASSESSMENT

Allegation #	859		
Source(s)	AIRWARS	Date Received	3 JUL 2017
Casualty Estimate	3 killed, 2 or more injured		
Incident Date	26 JAN 2017		
Location	Hunaida, Ar Raqqa IVO	(b)(1)1.4a	
Allegation	<p>January 26th 2017: هنيذة منطقة Hunaida area, الطبقة al Tabaqa countryside, Raqqa governorate, Syria</p> <p>Summary: Three civilians were reported killed in an alleged Coalition airstrike near Hunaida.</p> <p>According to the Syrian Observatory, "Explosions were heard in the western countryside of Al-Raqqah city, caused by bombing by warplanes believed to belong to the international coalition on an oil refinery area in Hunaida area at the countryside of al-Tabaqa, which injured several persons with confirmed information about the death of three due to the bombing."</p> <p>Step News said three civilians were slain when a temporary refinery in the village was targeted. In its daily report the Coalition confirmed targeting "oil refinement stills."</p> <p>Civilians reported killed: 3</p> <p>Civilians reported injured: 2 or more</p> <p>Sources: Syrian Observatory for Human Rights [Arabic] [Archived], Step News [Arabic] [Archived], Al Araby al Jnaid [Arabic] [Archived], Al Alam [Arabic] [Archived]</p> <p>Quality of Reporting: Fair</p>		
Analysis	<p>1. Is this allegation a self-report? NO If yes, add details of corroborating strike below and task a CCAR. If no, move to 2.</p> <p>2. Does the allegation include</p> <p>a) video/photo evidence of CIVCAS NO</p> <p>b) a general location, e.g., Tabaqah, Manbij, Tel Afar; if in Mosul, or similarly sized city, must provide neighborhoods, e.g., Zanjili. YES</p> <p>AND</p> <p>c) a way to identify the date or a 48 hour date range? NO</p> <p>If yes to all three, move to 6. If any are no, then move to number 3.</p> <p>3. Does the allegation contain a</p> <p>a) General Day, YES</p> <p>AND</p> <p>b) Specific location, e.g., MGRS or specific building? NO</p> <p>If yes to the two, then move to 6. If any are no, move to number 4.</p>		

	<p>4.a. Is the allegation from a single source (even if there are multiple sources, look at whether the sources lack independence, i.e., all derived from one source)? NO If yes, move to 4.b. If no, move to 5.</p> <p>4.b. Is the single source a high quality source OR does it provide specific facts that may warrant a CCAR. If yes, move to 6. If no, then move to 4.c.</p> <p>4.c. Has media interviewed witnesses and/or victims? If yes, then contact journalist and re-assess. If no, then close the allegation.</p> <p>5.a. Are any of the sources high quality? YES If yes, move to 6. If no, move to 5.b.</p> <p>5.b. Are there at least two corroborating sources (total of 3) that independently reported the allegation, OR does it provide specific facts that may warrant a CCAR? If yes, move to 6. If no, close the allegation.</p> <p>6. Does the allegation contain sufficient information on the time, location and details to make an assessment of credibility? YES i.e., does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine a neighborhood, landmark, or other way to where the alleged incident occurred within a .5km radius? If yes, move to 7. If no, close the allegation.</p> <p>7. Are there any potentially corroborating strikes? If yes, answer the below questions and task CCAR. If no, close allegation. NO. Zero strikes between 24-26 JAN 2017. See "(S-IRKS) AW Allegation #85 26 JAN 2017.ppt".</p> <p>1. Assessed date of incident:</p> <p>2. Location:</p> <p>3. Corroborating strikes:</p>
<p>Non-US Coalition Involvement</p>	
<p>Decision</p>	<p>Close at IA due to insufficient evidence to corroborate the allegation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No strikes in the location or timeframe alleged.
<p>Report Approved By:</p>	<p align="center">(b)(3) 10 USC 130b; (b)(6)</p>

Page 167 redacted for the following reason:

Exhibits removed per agreement - Bates Number 4595

Approved for Release