

CIVCAS CELL INITIAL ASSESSMENT

Allegation #	696		
Source(s)	Airwars.org	Date Received	
Casualty Estimate	killed: 0-1		
Incident Date	27-29 OCT 16		
Location	Al A'rima, Aleppo	(b)(1)1.4a	
Allegation	<p>1. Source #1:</p> <p>October 29th 2016: العريمة Al A'rima, west of Manbij, Aleppo governorate, Syria Summary: One person was killed by coalition raids, according to a single local source. Halab News named one victim of a "coalition raid:" Jass' m al Mahmoud. Civilians reported killed: 0-1 Civilians reported injured: unknown Sources: Halab News [Arabic] [Archived] Quality of reporting: Poor – currently single sourced</p>		
Analysis	<p>1. Is this allegation a self-report? If yes, add details of corroborating strike below and task a CCAR. If no, move to 2. NO</p> <p>2. Does the allegation include</p> <p>a) video/photo evidence of CIVCAS NO</p> <p>b) a general location, e.g., Tabqah, Manbij, Tel Afar; YES AND</p> <p>c) a way to identify the date or a 48 hour date range? YES</p> <p>If yes to all three, move to 6. If any are no, then move to number 3.</p> <p>3. Does the allegation contain a</p> <p>a) General Day, YES AND</p> <p>b) Specific location, e.g., MGRS or specific building? NO</p> <p>If yes to the two, then move to 6. If any are no, move to number 4.</p> <p>4.a. Is the allegation from a single source (even if there are multiple sources, look at whether the sources lack independence, i.e., all derived from one source)? If yes, move to 4.b. If no, move to 5. YES</p> <p>4.b. Is the single source a high quality source (well-known/credible news source) OR does it provide specific facts that may warrant a CCAR, i.e., a way to narrow down a reasonable list of strikes to assess. If yes, move to 6. If no, then move to 4.c. NO</p>		

	<p>4.c. Has media interviewed witnesses and/or victims? If yes, then contact journalist and re-assess. If no, then close the allegation. NO</p> <p>5.a. Are any of the sources high quality? If yes, move to 6. If no, move to 5.b.</p> <p>5.b. Are there at least two corroborating sources (total of 3) that independently reported the allegation, OR does it provide specific facts that may warrant a CCAR? If yes, move to 6. If no, close the allegation.</p> <p>6. Does the allegation contain sufficient information on the time, location and details to make an assessment of credibility? I.e., does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine a neighborhood, landmark, or other way to where the alleged incident occurred within a 5km adius? If yes, move to 7. If no, close the allegation.</p> <p>7. Are there any potentially corroborating strikes? If yes, answer the below questions and task CCAR. If no, close allegation.</p> <p>1. Assessed date of incident:</p> <p>2. Location:</p> <p>3. Corroborating strikes:</p> <p><i>MAT checked, no results</i> <i>CAOC log checked, no results</i> <i>CJTF kmz checked, - no results</i> <i>CJTF log checked, -same as above -</i></p>
Non-US Coalition Involvement	none
Decision	<p>1. Close the IA.</p> <p>2. There are no strikes in or around the location in the allegation.</p>
Report Approved By:	

Page 61 redacted for the following reason:

Exhibits removed per agreement - Bates Number 3857

Approved for Release