

## CIVCAS CELL INITIAL ASSESSMENT

<b>Allegation #</b>	865		
<b>Source(s)</b>	AIRWARS	<b>Date Received</b>	4 JUL 2017
<b>Casualty Estimate</b>	2 killed, 12 injured		
<b>Incident Date</b>	29 JAN 2017		
<b>Location</b>	Al Qaim, Iraq IVO	(b)(1)1.4a	
<b>Allegation</b>	<p><b>January 29th 2017: القائم Al Qa'im, Anbar province, Iraq</b>  <b>Summary:</b> Local sources reported that a woman and a child were killed and 12 other civilians injured after Coalition raids had targeted the Husaybah area in Al Qa'im, in the west of Anbar province.  Most sources said US aircraft had carried out the airstrikes, while one blamed the Coalition. However, no Coalition strikes were publicly reported in the vicinity.  Civilians reported <b>killed: 2, including 1 woman and 1 child</b>  Civilians reported <b>injured: 12</b>  Sources: Al Raed [Arabic] [Archived], Iraqi Spring Media Center [Arabic] [Archived], Iraq News center [Arabic] [Archived], Iraqi Spring Media Center (2) [Arabic] [Archived], Iraqi Spring Media Center (3) [Arabic] [Archived],  <b>Quality of Reporting: Fair, though no Coalition strikes reported</b></p>		
<b>Analysis</b>	<p>1. Is this allegation a self-report? <b>NO</b> If yes, add details of corroborating strike below and task a CCAR. If no, move to 2.</p> <p>2. Does the allegation include  a) video/photo evidence of CIVCAS <b>YES</b>  b) a general location, e.g., Tabaqah, Manbij, Tel Afar; if in Mosul, or similarly sized city, must provide neighborhoods, e.g., Zanjili. <b>Husaybah area in Al Qa'im</b>  AND  c) a way to identify the date or a 48 hour date range? <b>YES</b>  If yes to all three, move to 6. If any are no, then move to number 3.</p> <p><del>3. Does the allegation contain a</del>  a) <del>General Day,</del>  AND  b) <del>Specific location, e.g., MGRS or specific building?</del></p> <p>If yes to the two, then move to 6. If any are no, move to number 4.</p> <p><del>4.a. Is the allegation from a single source (even if there are multiple sources, look at whether the sources lack independence, i.e., all derived from one source)? If yes, move to 4.b. If no, move to 5.</del></p>		

<p><del>4.b. Is the single source a high quality source OR does it provide specific facts that may warrant a CCAR. If yes, move to 6. If no, then move to 4.c.</del></p> <p><del>4.c. Has media interviewed witnesses and/or victims? If yes, then contact journalist and re-assess. If no, then close the allegation.</del></p> <p><del>5.a. Are any of the sources high quality? If yes, move to 6. If no, move to 5.b.</del></p> <p><del>5.b. Are there at least two corroborating sources (total of 3) that independently reported the allegation, OR does it provide specific facts that may warrant a CCAR? If yes, move to 6. If no, close the allegation.</del></p> <p>6. Does the allegation contain sufficient information on the time, location and details to make an assessment of credibility? <b>YES.</b> I.e., does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine a neighborhood, landmark, or other way to where the alleged incident occurred within a .5km radius? If yes, move to 7. If no, close the allegation.</p> <p>7. Are there any potentially corroborating strikes? If yes, answer the below questions and task CCAR. If no, close allegation. <b>NO</b> See "<del>(S-MESE)</del> AW Allegation #91 29 JAN '17.ppt".</p> <p><b>1. Assessed date of incident:</b></p> <p><b>2. Location:</b></p> <p><b>3. Corroborating strikes:</b></p>	
<b>Non-US Coalition Involvement</b>	None.
<b>Decision</b>	Close at IA due to insufficient evidence to corroborate the allegation. <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>No strikes in the location and time alleged.</li></ul>
<b>Report Approved By:</b>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">(b)(3) 10 USC 130b; (b)(6)</div>

Page 179 redacted for the following reason:

-----  
Exhibits removed per agreement - Bates Number 4607

Approved for Release