CIVCAS CELL INITIAL ASSESSMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Allegation #</th>
<th>1572</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Incident Date</td>
<td>08 OCT 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opened Date</td>
<td>13 OCT 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source(s)</td>
<td>WebOps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source Type</td>
<td>Social Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Raqqa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Nearest Major City | Raqqa |

| MGRS | (b)(1)(4)(a) |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Allegation Description</th>
<th>Estimated Casualties – Killed: 240, Injured: 0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Source #1:</td>
<td>SM users reported that more than 240 civilians were killed in the last 4 days by CFs airstrikes and SDF shelling on Raqqa city.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is this in Airwars? NO

1. Is this allegation a self-report? NO
   - If yes, add details of potentially corroborating strike below and task a CCAR. If no, move to 2.

2. Does the allegation actually allege CIVCAS? YES
   - If yes, go to 3.a. If no, close the allegation.

3.a. Does the allegation state a single incident and/or location? NO
   - If yes, move to 4. If no, move to 3.b.

3.b. Does the allegation contain specific information pairing each CIVCAS allegation with an independent location? NO
   - If yes, separate the each of the combined allegations into separate allegations and restart an IA for each.
   - If no, send a RFI in an attempt to break out the locations and casualties into separate allegations, restart the IA.
   - If unable to RFI the allegor, go to 7.

4. Does the allegation contain:
   a) a way to identify a 48 hour date range?
   b) a specific location?
   - If yes to BOTH, go to 7. If EITHER are no, then go to 5.

5. Does the allegation contain:
   a) a way to identify a 48 hour date range?
   b) a specific time of day or timeframe?
   c) a general location?
   - If yes to ALL three, then go to 7. If ANY are no, go to 6.
6. Does the allegation contain:
   a) Video or photo evidence of CIVCAS that can be used to narrow the date/time or location of the allegation?
      — If yes, go to 7. If no, go to 6.b.
   b) Are there at least two corroborating sources (total of 3) that independently reported the allegation?
      — If yes, go to 7. If no, go to 6.e.
   c) Any high quality sources?
      — If yes, go to 7. If no, go to 6.d.
   d) Specific facts that warrant a search for strikes?
      — If yes, go to 7. If no, close the allegation.

7.a. Does the allegation contain sufficient information on the time, location and details to conduct a search for strikes? NO
      If yes, go to 8. If no, go to 7.b.

7.b. Explain in detail why there is insufficient information on the time, location, and details to conduct a search for strikes:
   - The allegation compiles strikes from four days, but it does not split the CIVCAS into particular regions.
   - Since the allegation is from WebOps, we are unable to RFI the allegor to break the CIVCAS numbers into their respective areas.

---

IZ & SY

MAT:
CAOC log:
CJFF log:

IZ
CIFLCC log, -email (Start 18 MAY 2017):

SY
TH[D]log, -email (Start 22 JUL 2017):

8. Are there any potentially corroborating strikes?
   — If yes, answer the questions below and task CCAR.
   — If no, provide a detailed explanation and close the allegation.

---

4. Assessed date of incident:

2. Location:

3. Potentially Corroborating Strike Information:
   MGRS:
   Strike-Number:
   DTG:
   Target:

THE OVERALL CLASSIFICATION IS
SECRET//REL TO USA, FVEY
Dynamic/Deliberate:
Aircraft:
Call Sign:
Nationality:
Munitions & Number dropped:
Target Number:
TEA:
BE #:

Non-US Coalition Involvement: N/A

Decision: Close at the IA due to insufficient information as to time, locations, and details to conduct an assessment of credibility.