

## CIVCAS CELL INITIAL ASSESSMENT

<b>Allegation #</b>	1110		
<b>Source(s)</b>	HRO - United Nations Human Rights	<b>Date Received</b>	7/8/2017
<b>Casualty Estimate</b>	Killed: 1 Injured: 2		
<b>Incident Date</b>	4 JUN 17		
<b>Location</b>	Anah town, western Anbar IVO <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">(b)(1)1.4a</span>		
<b>Allegation</b>	<p><b>1. Source #1: CJFLCC 102-17</b> On 4 June, an airstrike reportedly killed one civilian and wounded two others in ISIL-held Ana, western Anbar. Two sources reported to HRO that the airstrike killed <b>one civilian</b> and <b>wounded at least two others</b>. The casualties are reportedly from the same family.</p> <p>SUBJECT: First Impression Report (FIR) for International Organization Allegation of Civilian Casualties of 4 June 17 (CJFLCC-O IR 102/17)</p> <p>1. (U//<del>FOUO</del>) The SJA has reviewed an allegation from an international organization that on 4 June 2017, an airstrike killed one (1) civilian and wounded two (2) others in the Anah town of western Anbar.</p> <p>a. (U//<del>FOUO</del>) When: 4 June 2017.</p> <p>b. (U//<del>FOUO</del>) Where : Anah town, western Anbar.</p> <p>c. (U//<del>FOUO</del>) Casualty Estimate: One (1) civilian killed, two (2) civilians wounded.</p> <p><b>2. (S//<del>REL TO USA, FVEY</del>) Recommendation .</b> CJFLCC-OIR OSJA reviewed strike records for all Coalition strikes on 4 June 2017. The closest strike to the Anah town was over 60 kilometers away to the northeast. In the absence of further particularizing information or evidence, it is not possible at this time to correlate this allegation with a particular strike. Should additional information about this allegation become available, I recommend reviewing the allegation in light of that additional information. At this time, this allegation should be treated as not having the potential to be credible insofar as it relates to Coalition operations. I further recommend that the CJTF-OIR CJ34 review the allegation, as the location appears to be within the CJTF-OIR Op <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">(b)(1)1.4a</span> ops box.</p>		

<b>Analysis</b>	<p>1. Is this allegation a self-report? <del>If yes, add details of corroborating strike below and task a CCAR.</del> If no, move to 2. <b>NO</b></p> <p>2. Does the allegation include</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) video/photo evidence of CIVCAS <b>NO</b></li> <li>b) a general location, e.g., Tabaqah, Manbij, Tel Afar; AND</li> <li>c) a way to identify the date or a 48 hour date range? <b>YES</b></li> </ul> <p><del>If yes to all three, move to 6.</del> If any are no, then move to number 3.</p> <p>3. Does the allegation contain a</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) General Day, <b>YES</b></li> <li>AND</li> <li>b) Specific location, e.g., MGRS or specific building? <b>NO</b></li> </ul> <p><del>If yes to the two, then move to 6.</del> If any are no, move to number 4.</p> <p>4.a. Is the allegation from a single source (even if there are multiple sources, look at whether the sources lack independence, i.e., all derived from one source)? <b>NO</b> <del>If yes, move to 4.b.</del> If no, move to 5.</p> <p>4.b. <del>Is the single source a high quality source (well known/credible news source) OR does it provide specific facts that may warrant a CCAR, i.e., a way to narrow down a reasonable list of strikes to assess. If yes, move to 6. If no, then move to 4.c.</del></p> <p>4.c. <del>Has media interviewed witnesses and/or victims? If yes, then contact journalist and re-assess. If no, then close the allegation.</del></p> <p>5.a. Are any of the sources high quality? <b>YES</b> If yes, move to 6. <del>If no, move to 5.b.</del></p> <p>5.b. <del>Are there at least two corroborating sources (total of 3) that independently reported the allegation, OR does it provide specific facts that may warrant a CCAR? If yes, move to 6. If no, close the allegation.</del></p> <p>6. Does the allegation contain sufficient information on the time, location and details to make an assessment of credibility? <b>YES</b> I.e., does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine a neighborhood, landmark, or other way to where the alleged incident occurred within a .5km radius? If yes, move to 7. <del>If no, close the allegation.</del></p> <p>7. Are there any potentially corroborating strikes? <b>NO</b> <del>If yes, answer the below questions and task CCAR.</del> If no, close allegation.</p> <p><b>1. Assessed date of incident:</b> 4 JUN 17</p> <p><b>2. Location:</b> <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">(b)(1)1.4a</span></p> <p><b>3. Corroborating strikes:</b></p> <p><b>MGRS:</b></p> <p><b>Strike Number:</b></p> <p><b>DTG:</b></p>
-----------------	--

	<p><b>Target:</b>  <b>Dynamic/Deliberate:</b>  <b>Aircraft:</b>  <b>Call Sign:</b>  <b>Nationality:</b>  <b>Munitions:</b>  <b>Number dropped:</b>  <b>Target Number:</b>  <b>TEA:</b></p> <p><u>IZ &amp; SY</u>  MAT, 0  CJTF log, 0  CJTF kmz, 0  CAOC log, 0</p> <p><u>IZ</u>  CJFLCC log, -email (Start 18 MAY 2017), 0  <u>SY</u>  <b>(b)(1)</b> log, -email (Start 22 JUL 2017), N/A</p>
<b>Non-US Coalition Involvement</b>	N/A
<b>Decision</b>	<p>Close at the IA due to insufficient evidence to corroborate the allegation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CJFLCC-OIR OSJA reviewed strike records for all Coalition strikes on 4 June 2017. The closest strike to the Anah town was over 60 kilometers away. In the absence of further particularizing information or evidence, it is not possible at this time to correlate this allegation with a particular strike. Should additional information about this allegation become available, I recommend reviewing the allegation in light of that additional information. At this time, this allegation should be treated as not having the potential to be credible insofar as it relates to Coalition operations. I further recommend that the CJTF-OIR CJ34 review the allegation, as the location appears to be within the CJTF-OIR Op <b>(b)(1)1.4a</b> ops box.</li> <li>• CJTF for action from CJFLCC. CJTF conducted search for strikes with negative results.</li> </ul>
<b>Report Approved By:</b>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">(b)(3) 10 USC 130b; (b)(6)</div>

Page 157 redacted for the following reason:

-----  
Exhibits removed per agreement - Bates Number 4850

Approved for Release